CONTINUOUS DEPENDENCE OF FIXED POINTS OF SOME PARTICULAR MAPS IN COMPLETE METRIC SPACE

Rauf, K.*, Aiyetan, B. Y. and Aniki, S. A.

Department of Mathematics, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria. *Corresponding author. E-mail: raufkml@gmail.com, krauf@unilorin.edu.ng.

Accepted 22nd July, 2017

In this paper, we prove the continuous dependence of fixed points in a complete metric space. We show that for function satisfying certain condition with iterative process is continuous and depend on parameter of the space. Results of the investigation revealed that Mann and Kranoselskij iteratives are satisfied with general contractive conditions.

Key words: Continuous dependence, complete metric space, contractive condition and comparison function.

INTRODUCTION

Banach (1922) established a remarkable fixed point theorem known as "Banach contraction principle" which is one of the major result considered in metric fixed point theory. Several results have been published in fixed point theory and iterative approximation procedure for self and non-self-contractive type operators in metric spaces. For a strict contractive type operator, Picard iteration defined by $x_{n+1} = Tx_n \ (\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} n = 0, 1, 2, ...)$ has been considered in approximating the unique fixed point when the contractive conditions are slightly weaker, then the Picard iteration need not converge to a fixed point of the operator T and some other iterative procedures will be considered (Berinde, 2007). This work used contractive types of Mann, Krasnoselskij and Ishikawa schemes.

Let (X,d) be a metric space and $T:XxX \to X$ a selfmap of X with fixed point $p \in F_T$. For a given $x_0 \in X$, we consider the sequence of iteration $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ determined by the successive iteration method:

$$\begin{cases} x_n = T(x_{n-1}) = T^n(x_0) & n = 1, 2, ..., \\ x_{n+1} = T(x_n), & n = 0, 1, 2, ..., \\ (1.1) \end{cases}$$

Picard iterative process (1.1) has been used to approximate the fixed points of mappings satisfying the relation:

$$d(Tx,Ty) \le \alpha d(x,y)$$
, for all $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in [0,1)$

(1.2)

Inequality (1.2) is referred to as contraction principle.

Among the iterative procedures that generalize (1.1) are:

(i) for
$$x_0 \in X$$
, the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ defined by

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \beta)x_n + \beta T x_n \qquad n = 0, 1, ...,$$
(1.3)

where $\{\beta\} \subset [0,1]$ satisfying certain appropriate conditions is called a Kranoselskij iteration, Berinde (2007).

(ii) for $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ defined by

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T x_n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, ...,$$
(1.4)

Where, $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset [0,1]$ satisfying some conditions, is called the Mann iteration.

(iii) for
$$x_0 \in X$$
, the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ defined by
 $x_n = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T[(1 - b_n)x_n + b_n T x_n, \quad n = 0, 1, ...$
(1.5)

Where, $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, \{b_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset [0,1]$ satisfying certain appropriate condition, is called Ishikawa iteration scheme.

Equation (1.5) in a system form is:

$$\begin{cases} y_n = (1 - b_n)x_n + b_n T x_n \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T y_n \end{cases} \qquad n = 0, 1, ...,$$

Ishikawa iteration is regarded as double Mann iteration with two different parameter sequences (2).

(iv) Let X be a Banach space, and suppose T is a mapping of X to X, the Kirk's iteration procedure is given by $x_0 \in X$ and

 $x_{n+1} = \alpha_0 x_0 + \alpha_1 T^1 x_1 + \alpha_2 T^2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_k T^k x_k$ (1.6)k is a Where. fixed integer, $k \ge 0, \alpha_i \ge 0, for \ i = 0, 1, ..., k,$ $\alpha_1 \ge 0$ and $\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k = 1$

Recently, Rauf et al. (2017) introduced some new implicit Kirk-type iterative schemes to generalize convex metric spaces in order to approximate fixed points for general class of quasi-contractive operators. The strong convergence, T-stability, equivalency, data dependence and convergence rate of these results were explored. The results are faster and better, in term of speed of convergence, than the corresponding results of Olatinwo (2009), Chugh and Kumar (2012), Hussain et al. (2012), Gursoy et al. (2013) and Akewe et al. (2014). These results also improved and generalized several existing iterative schemes in the literature and they provided analogues of the corresponding results of other spaces, namely: normed spaces, CAT(0) spaces and so on.

Also, the following researchers worked on fixed point theorem and its applications: Debnath (2014), Eshi et al. (2016), Choudhury et al. (2016), Debnath et al. (2014) and Neog and Debnath (2017).

Some results concerning the continuous dependence of the fixed points in a complete metric space are established in this paper by using some general contractive conditions. The result established for the fixed point is similar to those of Olatinwo (2010) by employing a weaker contractive type.

Basic definitions and preliminaries

The needed definitions and lemmas are stated as follows.

Let $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a function in connection with the function φ , then the following properties are valid:

i. φ is monotone increasing; ii $\varphi(t) < t$ for all t > 0; iii $\varphi(0) = 0;$ iv $\{\varphi^n(t)\}$ converges for all $t \ge 0$; $v \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi^n(t)$ converges for all t > 0; vi $t - \varphi(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$; and vii *a* is subadditive.

Definition 2.1

A function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is called a comparison function if it satisfies the following conditions: i φ is monotone increasing; and ii $\lim_{n\to} \varphi^n(t) = 0$ for all $t \ge 0$.

Definition 2.2

comparison function satisfying Α $t - \psi(t) \rightarrow \infty \quad as \ t \rightarrow \infty$ is called a strict comparison function.

Definition 2.3

A function satisfying the following condition is called a c – comparison function (a) φ is monotone increasing; and

(b) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi^n(t)$ converges for all t > 0.

Further examples and definitions of comparison function are given (Imoru et al., 2006; Kazimierz and Williams, 2000, 1990; Mann, 1953; Mohamed and Williams, 2001; Olatinwo, 2008; Ravi et al. 2000; William and Brailey, 2001; Zeidler, 1986).

Remarks 2.1

Every comparison function satisfies $\varphi(0) = 0.$

In (2007), Berinde formulated the continuous dependence of the fixed points on a parameter λ in the following general context:

Let (X,d) be a metric space, (Y,τ) a topological space and $T: X \times Y \to X$ a family of operators depending on the parameter $\lambda \in Y$, where Y is a parameter space. Assume that $T_{\lambda} = (., \lambda), \ \lambda \in Y$

and consider the operator $U: Y \to X$, then $U(\lambda) = x_{\lambda}^*$ for all $\lambda \in Y$. The objectives of this study are to obtain sufficient conditions on *T* that guarantee the continuity of *U*.

In this paper, the following contractive conditions for a continuous mapping $T_{\lambda} : X \ge X$ $Y \to X$ shall be employed:

a. A strict comparison function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that for all $x, y \in X$

 $d(T(x,\lambda),T(y,\lambda)) \le \varphi(d(x,y))$

b. A real number $a \ge 0$, a monotone increasing function $\theta : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ with $\theta(0) = 0$ and a strict comparison $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $d(T(x,\lambda), T(y,\lambda)) \le ad(x,Tx) + \theta d(y,Ty) + \varphi d(x,y)$, for all $x, y \in X$ 2.2 c. A real number $L \ge 0$ and a strict comparison

function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that for all $x, y \in X$ $d(T(x,\lambda), T(y,\lambda)) \leq Ld(x,Tx) + \varphi d(x,y)$ 2.3

Theorem 3.1

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and (Y, τ) a topological space. If $T : X \ge Y \to X$ is a continuous mappings for which there exists a strict comparison function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that (2.2) is satisfied for all $x, y \in X$ and $\lambda \in Y$ where $T_{\lambda}x = T(x, \lambda)$. Let x_{λ}^* be the unique fixed point of T_{λ} . Suppose $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is the Mann iterative process defined by (1.4) with $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset [0,1]$, then, the mapping $U: Y \to X$ given by $U(\lambda) = x_{\lambda}^*$, $\lambda \in Y$ is continuous.

Proof. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in Y$. Then, we shall apply the general contractive conditions in the proof of the theorem.

RESULTS

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) &= (1 - \alpha_{\lambda_{1}})d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) + \alpha_{\lambda_{1}}d(T_{\lambda_{1}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) + (\alpha_{\lambda_{2}} - \alpha_{\lambda_{1}})x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*} + (\alpha_{\lambda_{1}} - \alpha_{\lambda_{2}})T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{\lambda_{1}})d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) + \alpha_{\lambda_{1}}[d(T_{\lambda_{1}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}) + d(T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*})] + (\alpha_{\lambda_{2}} - \alpha_{\lambda_{1}})x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*} + (\alpha_{\lambda_{1}} - \alpha_{\lambda_{2}})T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) \\ &= (1 - \alpha_{\lambda_{1}})d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) + \alpha_{\lambda_{1}}d(T_{\lambda_{1}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}) + \alpha_{\lambda_{1}}d(T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) + (\alpha_{\lambda_{2}} - \alpha_{\lambda_{1}})x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*} + (\alpha_{\lambda_{1}} - \alpha_{\lambda_{2}})T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{\lambda_{1}})d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) + \alpha_{\lambda_{1}}d(T_{\lambda_{1}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}) + \alpha_{\lambda_{1}}[\varphi d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*})] + (\alpha_{\lambda_{2}} - \alpha_{\lambda_{1}})x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*} + (\alpha_{\lambda_{1}} - \alpha_{\lambda_{2}})T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{\lambda_{1}})d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) + \alpha_{\lambda_{1}}d(T_{\lambda_{1}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}) + (1 - \alpha_{\lambda_{1}})d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*})] + (\alpha_{\lambda_{2}} - \alpha_{\lambda_{1}})x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*} + (\alpha_{\lambda_{1}} - \alpha_{\lambda_{2}})T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) \\ &= (1 - \alpha_{\lambda_{1}})\varphi d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) + (1 - \alpha_{\lambda_{1}})d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) + (\alpha_{\lambda_{2}} - \alpha_{\lambda_{1}})x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*} + (\alpha_{\lambda_{1}} - \alpha_{\lambda_{2}})T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) \\ &= (1 - \alpha_{\lambda_{1}})\varphi d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) + (1 - \alpha_{\lambda_{1}})d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) + (\alpha_{\lambda_{2}} - \alpha_{\lambda_{1}})x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*} + (\alpha_{\lambda_{1}} - \alpha_{\lambda_{2}})T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) \\ &= (1 - \alpha_{\lambda_{1}})\varphi d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) + (1 - \alpha_{\lambda_{1}})d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) + (\alpha_{\lambda_{2}} - \alpha_{\lambda_{1}})x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*} + (\alpha_{\lambda_{1}} - \alpha_{\lambda_{2}})T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) \\ &= (1 - \alpha_{\lambda_{1}})\varphi d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) + (1 - \alpha_{\lambda_{1}})d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) + (\alpha_{\lambda_{2}} - \alpha_{\lambda_{1}})x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*} + (\alpha_{\lambda_{1}} - \alpha_{\lambda_{2}})T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) \\ &= (1 - \alpha_{\lambda_{1}})\varphi d(x_{\lambda_{1$$

Since *T* is continuous and φ is a strict comparison function for $\lambda_2 \to \lambda_1$, then, $\alpha_{\lambda 1} d(T_{\lambda 1} x_{\lambda 1}^*, T_{\lambda 2} x_{\lambda 1}^*) \to 0$ as $\lambda_2 \to \lambda_1$ and $(1 - \alpha_{\lambda 1}) d(x_{\lambda 1}^*, x_{\lambda 2}^*) \to 0$ as $\lambda_2 \to \lambda_1$ then $(\alpha_{\lambda 2} - \alpha_{\lambda 1}) x_{\lambda 2}^* \to 0$ as $\lambda_2 \to \lambda_1$ And $(\alpha_{\lambda 1} - \alpha_{\lambda 2}) T_{\lambda 2} x_{\lambda 2}^*) \to 0$ as $\lambda_2 \to \lambda_1$ which implies

 $d(x_{\lambda 1}^*, x_{\lambda 2}^*) \to 0 \quad as \ \lambda_2 \to \lambda_1$ Therefore, $d(U(\lambda 1), U(\lambda 2)) \to 0 \quad as \ \lambda 2 \to \lambda 1$

Hence, the mapping $U: Y \to E$, defined by $U(\lambda) = x_{\lambda}^*$, $\lambda \in Y$ is continuous and depends on λ .

Rauf et al

Theorem 3.2

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and (Y, τ) a topological space. If $T: X \ge Y \to X$ is a continuous mapping for which $\theta: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a monotone increasing function such that $\theta(0) = 0$ and $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ a strict comparison function such that (2.3) is satisfied for all $x, y \in X$ and $\lambda \in Y$ where Nigerian Journal of Science and Environment, Vol. 15 (1) (2017)

 $T_{\lambda}x = T(x, \lambda)$. Let x_{λ}^* be the unique fixed point of T_{λ} . Suppose $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is the Kranoselskij iterative process defined by (1.3) with $\beta \ge 0$. Then the mapping $U: Y \to X$, given by $U(\lambda) = x_{\lambda}^*$, $\lambda \in Y$ is continuous. *Proof.* Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in Y$. Then,

$$\begin{split} &d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) = (1 - \beta)d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) + \beta d(T_{\lambda_{1}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) \\ &\leq (1 - \beta)d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) + \beta [d(T_{\lambda_{1}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}) + d(T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*})] \\ &= (1 - \beta)d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) + \beta d(T_{\lambda_{1}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}) + \beta d(T_{\lambda_{1}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) \\ &\leq (1 - \beta)d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) + \beta d(T_{\lambda_{1}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}) \\ &\quad + \beta [ad(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, T_{\lambda_{1}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}) + \theta d(x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}, T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) + \varphi d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*})] \\ &\leq (1 - \beta)d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) + \beta d(T_{\lambda_{1}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}) + \beta \varphi d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) \\ &\leq (1 - \beta)d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) + \beta d(T_{\lambda_{1}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}) + \beta \varphi d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) \\ &\leq (1 - \beta)d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) + \beta d(T_{\lambda_{1}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}) + \beta \varphi d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) \\ &d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) - \beta \varphi d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) \leq \beta d(T_{\lambda_{1}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}) + (1 - \beta)d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) \\ & \text{Hence} \\ (1 - \beta \varphi)d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) \leq \beta d(T_{\lambda_{1}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, T_{\lambda_{2}}x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}) + (1 - \beta)d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) \\ & \text{Since T is continuous and φ is a strict comparison function, for $\lambda_{2} \to \lambda_{1}$ \\ & \text{and} \\ (1 - \beta)d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) \to 0 \quad as $\lambda_{2} \to \lambda_{1}$ \\ & \text{then} \\ d(x_{\lambda_{1}}^{*}, x_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}) \to 0 \quad as $\lambda_{2} \to \lambda_{1}$ \\ & \text{then} \\ d(u(\lambda_{1}), U(\lambda_{2})) \to 0 \quad as $\lambda_{2} \to \lambda_{1}$ \\ & \text{Hence, the mapping $U: Y \to E$, defined by $U(\lambda) = $x_{\lambda}^{*}, $\lambda \in Y$ is continuous and depends on λ. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.3

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and (Y, τ) a topological space. If $T : X \ge Y \to X$ is a continuous mapping satisfying (2.4). Suppose that $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a strict comparison function. Let x_{λ}^* be the unique fixed point of T_{λ} , where $T_{\lambda} x = T(x, \lambda)$, for all $x, y \in X$ and $\lambda \in Y$. Suppose $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is the Mann iterative process defined by (1.4) with $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset [0,1]$. Then the mapping $U : Y \to X$, given by $U(\lambda) = x_{\lambda}^*$, $\lambda \in Y$ is continuous.

$$\begin{aligned} Proof. \ \text{Let } \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} &\in Y. \ \text{Then,} \\ d(x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, x_{\lambda 2}^{*}) &= (1 - \alpha_{\lambda 1})d(x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, x_{\lambda 2}^{*}) + \alpha_{\lambda 1}d(T_{\lambda 1}x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, T_{\lambda 2}x_{\lambda 2}^{*}) + (\alpha_{\lambda 2} - \alpha_{\lambda 1})x_{\lambda 2}^{*} + (\alpha_{\lambda 1} - \alpha_{\lambda 2})T_{\lambda 2}x_{\lambda 2}^{*}) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{\lambda 1})d(x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, x_{\lambda 2}^{*}) + \alpha_{\lambda 1}[d(T_{\lambda 1}x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, T_{\lambda 2}x_{\lambda 1}^{*}) + d(T_{\lambda 2}x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, T_{\lambda 2}x_{\lambda 2}^{*})] + (\alpha_{\lambda 2} - \alpha_{\lambda 1})x_{\lambda 2}^{*} + (\alpha_{\lambda 1} - \alpha_{\lambda 2})T_{\lambda 2}x_{\lambda 2}^{*}) \\ &= (1 - \alpha_{\lambda 1})d(x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, x_{\lambda 2}^{*}) + \alpha_{\lambda 1}d(T_{\lambda 1}x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, T_{\lambda 2}x_{\lambda 1}^{*}) + \alpha_{\lambda 1}d(T_{\lambda 2}x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, T_{\lambda 2}x_{\lambda 2}^{*}) + (\alpha_{\lambda 2} - \alpha_{\lambda 1})x_{\lambda 2}^{*} \\ &+ (\alpha_{\lambda 1} - \alpha_{\lambda 2})T_{\lambda 2}x_{\lambda 2}^{*}) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{\lambda 1})d(x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, x_{\lambda 2}^{*}) + \alpha_{\lambda 1}d(T_{\lambda 1}x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, T_{\lambda 2}x_{\lambda 1}^{*}) + \alpha_{\lambda 1}[Ld(x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, T_{\lambda 1}x_{\lambda 1}^{*}) + \varphi d(x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, x_{\lambda 2}^{*})] \\ &+ (\alpha_{\lambda 2} - \alpha_{\lambda 1})x_{\lambda 2}^{*} + (\alpha_{\lambda 1} - \alpha_{\lambda 2})T_{\lambda 2}x_{\lambda 2}^{*}) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{\lambda 1})d(x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, x_{\lambda 2}^{*}) + \alpha_{\lambda 1}d(T_{\lambda 1}x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, T_{\lambda 2}x_{\lambda 1}^{*}) + \alpha_{\lambda 1}\varphi d(x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, x_{\lambda 2}^{*}) + (\alpha_{\lambda 2} - \alpha_{\lambda 1})x_{\lambda 2}^{*} + (\alpha_{\lambda 1} - \alpha_{\lambda 2})T_{\lambda 2}x_{\lambda 2}^{*}) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{\lambda 1})d(x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, x_{\lambda 2}^{*}) + \alpha_{\lambda 1}d(T_{\lambda 1}x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, T_{\lambda 2}x_{\lambda 1}^{*}) + \alpha_{\lambda 1}\varphi d(x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, x_{\lambda 2}^{*}) + (\alpha_{\lambda 2} - \alpha_{\lambda 1})x_{\lambda 2}^{*} + (\alpha_{\lambda 1} - \alpha_{\lambda 2})T_{\lambda 2}x_{\lambda 2}^{*}) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{\lambda 1})d(x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, x_{\lambda 2}^{*}) + \alpha_{\lambda 1}d(T_{\lambda 1}x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, T_{\lambda 2}x_{\lambda 1}^{*}) + \alpha_{\lambda 1}\varphi d(x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, x_{\lambda 2}^{*}) + (\alpha_{\lambda 2} - \alpha_{\lambda 1})x_{\lambda 2}^{*} + (\alpha_{\lambda 1} - \alpha_{\lambda 2})T_{\lambda 2}x_{\lambda 2}^{*}) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{\lambda 1})d(x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, x_{\lambda 2}^{*}) + \alpha_{\lambda 1}d(T_{\lambda 1}x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, T_{\lambda 2}x_{\lambda 1}^{*}) + \alpha_{\lambda 1}\varphi d(x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, x_{\lambda 2}^{*}) + (\alpha_{\lambda 2} - \alpha_{\lambda 1})x_{\lambda 2}^{*} + (\alpha_{\lambda 1} - \alpha_{\lambda 2})T_{\lambda 2}x_{\lambda 2}^{*}) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{\lambda 1})d(x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, x_{\lambda 2}^{*}) + \alpha_{\lambda 1}d(T_{\lambda 1}x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, T_{\lambda 2}x_{\lambda 1}^{*}) + \alpha_{\lambda 1}\varphi d(x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, x_{\lambda 2}^{*}) + (\alpha_{\lambda 2} - \alpha_{\lambda 1})x_{\lambda 2}^{*} + (\alpha_{\lambda 1} - \alpha_{\lambda 2})T_{\lambda 2}x_{\lambda 2}^{*}) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{\lambda 1})d(x_{\lambda 1}^{*}, x_{\lambda 2}^{*}) + \alpha_{$$

then $d(x_{\lambda 1}^*, x_{\lambda 2}^*) - \alpha_{\lambda 1} \varphi d(x_{\lambda 1}^*, x_{\lambda 2}^*) \le \alpha_{\lambda 1} d(T_{\lambda 1} x_{\lambda 1}^*, T_{\lambda 2} x_{\lambda 1}^*) + (1 - \alpha_{\lambda 1}) d(x_{\lambda 1}^*, x_{\lambda 2}^*) + (\alpha_{\lambda 2} - \alpha_{\lambda 1}) x_{\lambda 2}^* + (\alpha_{\lambda 1} - \alpha_{\lambda 2}) T_{\lambda 2} x_{\lambda 2}^*)$

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \alpha_{\lambda 1} \varphi) d(x_{\lambda 1}^*, x_{\lambda 2}^*) \\ &\leq \alpha_{\lambda 1} d(T_{\lambda 1} x_{\lambda 1}^*, T_{\lambda 2} x_{\lambda 1}^*) + (1 - \alpha_{\lambda 1}) d(x_{\lambda 1}^*, x_{\lambda 2}^*) + (\alpha_{\lambda 2} - \alpha_{\lambda 1}) x_{\lambda 2}^* + (\alpha_{\lambda 1} - \alpha_{\lambda 2}) T_{\lambda 2} x_{\lambda 2}^*) \end{aligned}$$

Since *T* is continuous and φ is a strict comparison function, for $\lambda_2 \to \lambda_1$. Then, $\alpha_{\lambda 1} d(T_{\lambda 1} x_{\lambda 1}^*, T_{\lambda 2} x_{\lambda 1}^*) \to 0$ as $\lambda_2 \to \lambda_1$ and $(1 - \alpha_{\lambda 1}) d(x_{\lambda 1}^*, x_{\lambda 2}^*) \to 0$ as $\lambda_2 \to \lambda_1$ then $(\alpha_{\lambda 2} - \alpha_{\lambda 1}) x_{\lambda 2}^* \to 0$ as $\lambda_2 \to \lambda_1$

and

 $\begin{aligned} (\alpha_{\lambda 1} - \alpha_{\lambda 2}) T_{\lambda 2} x_{\lambda 2}^*) &\to 0 \quad as \ \lambda_2 \to \lambda_1 \\ \text{hence} \\ d(x_{\lambda 1}^*, x_{\lambda 2}^*) \to 0 \quad as \ \lambda_2 \to \lambda_1 \\ \text{Therefore} \\ d(U(\lambda 1), U(\lambda 2)) \to 0 \quad as \ \lambda 2 \to \lambda 1 \end{aligned}$

Hence the mapping $U: Y \to E$, defined by $U(\lambda) = x_{\lambda}^*$, $\lambda \in Y$ is continuous and depends on λ .

CONCLUSION

The study has proved some results on continuous mappings for which there exists a strict comparison and monotone increasing functions by using Mann and Kranoselskij processes. Furthermore, continuous dependence of fixed points in complete metric space were established. The results are extension of continuous dependence of fixed point to some known processes.

REFERENCES

- Banach, S. (1922). Sur les operations dans les ensembles et leur applications aux equations integrals. Fund. Math. 3, 133-181.
- Berinde, V. (2007). Iterative approximation of *fixed points*. Verlag Berlin Heidelberg: Springer.
- Choudhury, B. S., Metiya, N. and Debnath, P. (2016). End point results in metric spaces endowed with a graph, Journal of Mathematics, 2016, Article ID: 91301017, (2016), DOI: 10.1155/2016/9130107.

- **Debnath, P. (2014).** Fixed points of contractive set valued mappings with set valued domains on a metric space with graph, TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, **4** (2), 169-174.
- Debnath, P., Choudhury, B. S. and Neog, M. (2017). Fixed set of set valued mappings with set valued domain in terms of start set on a metric space with a graph, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 5, DOI: 10.1186/s13663-017-0598-8.
- Eshi, D. Das, P. K. and Debnath, P. (2016). Coupled coincidence and coupled common fixed point theorems on a metric space with a graph, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 2016 (37), DOI:10.1186/s13663-016-0530-7.
- Gursoy, F., karakaya, V., Rhoades, B.E. (2013). Some Convergence and stability result for the Kirk multistep and Kirk-SP fixed point iterative algorithms for contractive-like operators in normed linear spces, math.FA,(2013), arXiv:1306.1954v1
- Imoru, C. O., Olatinwo, M. O., and Owojori, O. O. (2006). On the stability results for Picard and Mann iteration procedures, J.

Rauf et al

Appl. Funct. Differ. Eqn. JAFDE 1(1), 71-80.

- Kazimierz, Goebel., & Williams, A. Kirk. (1990). Topics in metric fixed point theory. Sydney: Cambridge University Press.
- Mann, W. R. (1953). Mean value methods in *iteration*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 44, 506-510.
- Mohamed, A. Khamsi and Williams, A. Kik. (2001). An introduction to metric spaces and fixed point theoy. New York: John Willey and sons.
- Neog, M. and Debnath, P. (2017). Fixed points of set valued mappings in terms of start point on a metric space endowed with a directed graph, Mathematics, 2017, 5-24.
- Olatinwo, M.O. (2008). Some stability results for two hybrid fixed point iterative algorithms of Kirk-Ishikawa and Kirk-Mann type. J. Adv. Math. Studies 1(1-2) (2008), 87-96.
- **Olatinwo, M.O. (2010).** On the continuous dependence of the fixed points for (φ, ψ) contractive type operators: Kragujevac Journal of Math. **34**, 91-102.
- Ravi, P. Agarwa., Donal, O"Regan., & Sahu,
 D. R. (2000). Fixed point theory for lipschitzian type mapping with application. Dordrecht, Boston, London: Springer.
- Rauf, K. and Wahab, O. T. and Ali, A. (2017). New Implicit Kirk-type Schemes for Contractive-like for a general Class of Quasi-Contractive Operator in generalized Convex Metric Spaces. Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications. 14 (1)(8), 1-29.
- Rus, I. A. (2001). Generalized contractions and applications. Cluj Napoca: Cluj Univ. Press.
- William, A. Kirk and Brailey, Sim. (2001). Handbook of metric fixed point theory. Dordrecht, Boston, London: Kluwer Academic Publishes.
- Zeidler, E. (1986). Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications-Fixed point theorems: Springer.